
POST OPERATIONS ANALYSIS REPORT

April, 2024

CENTRAL COMMAND CENTER, C-ATFM, DELHI





Page **1** of **26**



Contents

A.	Ex	ecutive Summary	1
В.	Tr	affic Analysis	5
ı		Air Traffic Movement at Major Airports in India	5
ı	l.	Comparison of total ATMs (YoY) and Monthwise	8
ı	II.	Flight Operations – Airlinewise	9
C.	ΑΊ	FM Post Operations – CDM Analysis10)
ı		Introduction	10
ı	l.	ATFM Measures Overview	11
ı	II.	Overall Compliance	12
ı	٧.	CTOT Compliance rate – Airportwise	14
\	٧.	CTOT Compliance rate – Airlinewise	17
1	۷I.	Reason For Non Compliance	18
1	∕II.	Air Delay during the CDM Scenario period	19
1	∕III.	Tangible Benefits due to ATFM Measures	20
D.	GI	ossary22	2
Δn	nexi	ıre-Δ 2°	3



List of Figures

Figure 1: Monthly Traffic Growth	
Figure 2: Average Daily Movements (Mar '24 vs Apr'24)	
Figure 3: Air Traffic Movement for Delhi –April 2024	6
Figure 4: Air Traffic Movement for Mumbai - April 2024	θ
Figure 5: Air Traffic Movement for Bengaluru – April 2024	7
Figure 6: Air Traffic Movement for Hyderabad – April 2024	. 7
Figure 7: Traffic Variation (YoY)	
Figure 8: Flight Movements –Airlinewise	<u>C</u>
Figure 9: ATFM Measures –April '24	
Figure 10: Affected Flight Statistics –April'24	
Figure 11: Overall Compliance – April '24	
Figure 12: Compliance(Monthwise)	
Figure 13: Airline wise Compliance –April'24	
Figure 14: Reason for Non-Compliance as provided by FMPs	
Figure 15: Air Delay distribution during the CDM period	



A. Executive Summary

Average Domestic air traffic has recorded an increase of 5.5% whereas the average international air traffic has decreased by 0.45% in the month of April'24 as compared to March'24.

On average, the Indian Airports in the ATFCM area saw 4603 IFR flights per day in the month of April 2024. The peak day was on 12th April 2024 (5118 IFR flights). Friday's were the busiest days throughout this month with an average of 4724 IFR flights per day.

Total Fifty (50) ATFM measures were applied this month during periods of congestion at Delhi, Chennai, Kolkata, Bengaluru and Mumbai Airport.




Figure 1: Monthly Traffic Growth

The graph above depicts the Domestic and international Air traffic in Indian ATFCM Area during the last 13 months (April'2023 to April'24).

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **4** of **26**



B. Traffic Analysis

I. Air Traffic Movement at Major Airports in India




Figure 2: Average Daily Movements (Mar '24 vs Apr'24)

The above chart depicts the percentage change in average daily ATMs at six major Airports in April'24 as compared to the previous month March'24.

Airports\Year	Avg. Daily ATMs (YoY) for six major airports					
All ports (real	Apr'20	Apr'21	Apr'22	Apr'23	Apr'24	
Bengaluru	16	424	557	687	742	
Delhi	48	931	1210	1293	1307	
Hyderabad	8	305	440	487	530	
Kolkata	14	290	376	384	406	
Mumbai	33	480	753	883	936	
Chennai	15	286	353	407	411	



Air Traffic Movement for each day in April'24 is plotted for Delhi, Mumbai, Bengaluru and Hyderabad Airport along with the percentage change w.r.t. Avg. Daily Movements for the same month.




Figure 3: Air Traffic Movement for Delhi –April 2024




Figure 4: Air Traffic Movement for Mumbai - April 2024

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **6** of **26**






Figure 5: Air Traffic Movement for Bengaluru - April 2024




Figure 6: Air Traffic Movement for Hyderabad - April 2024

It can be concluded from the above charts that on 30th April 2024 (month end), the ATM at Mumbai saw an increase of 1.8% whereas the ATM at Bengaluru and Hyderabad saw a decline of 1.1% and 1.9% respectively in comparison to the average daily movement for April'24.

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **7** of **26**



II. Comparison of total ATMs (YoY) and Monthwise

The total Air traffic movement(ATMs) including Passenger and other flights such as Cargo flights, International scheduled, International non-scheduled, Domestic scheduled, Domestic non-scheduled, Air taxi & commercial business flights at six major Indian Airports namely Delhi, Mumbai, Bengaluru, Hyderabad, Kolkata and Chennai is plotted for the month of April for two consecutive years 2023 and 2024 respectively. Air Traffic movement is also plotted Airline wise for the last six months for the major Scheduled Operators.




Figure 7: Traffic Variation (YoY)

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **8** of **26**



III. Flight Operations – Airlinewise




Figure 8: Flight Movements -Airlinewise

Inference:

1. Indigo, Air India, Vistara and Akasa Airlines have recorded an increase in the monthly average (30 days) Flight movement in April'24 as compared to March '24 while Spicejet, Alliance air and Air Asia Airline has recorded a decline during the same period.

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **9** of **26**



C. ATFM Post Operations – CDM Analysis

I. Introduction

Analysis Period 1st – 30th April 24

Back Ground

During the above mentioned period, Five (05) ATFM measures were applied for Delhi Airport, Thirty Five (35) ATFM measures were applied for Mumbai Airport, One (01) ATFM measure was applied for Kolkata Airport, One (01) ATFM measure was applied for Bengaluru Airport and Eight (08) ATFM measures were applied for Chennai airport due to the following reasons as illustrated in the bar chart below:—




Figure 9: ATFM Measures -April '24

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **10** of **26**



II. ATFM Measures Overview

Constrained Airport	Delhi	Mumbai	Kolkata	Bengaluru	Chennai
Number of ATFM measures applied	5	35	1	1	8
Average ATFM Ground delay(in min) due to measures*	32.8	28.6	20.3	10.6	16.6
Maximum ATFM Ground delay(in min) due to measures	81	77	50	24	37
% Compliance	72.8	85.1	78.3	79.5	83.5

Note: * Average ATFM Delay = $\frac{Total \, ATFM \, Delay}{Total \, Domestic \, Arrivals}$

Total Arrivals	3212
Total International Arrivals(exempted)	726
Total affected flights in scenario (Domestic Arrivals)	2486
Total Domestic Arrivals with zero ATFM delay	167
Total Domestic Arrivals with ATFM delay	2319




Figure 10: Affected Flight Statistics -April'24

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **11** of **26**



III. Overall Compliance

Total arrivals	3212
Domestic arrivals	2486
Flights with complete data (ATOT)	2396
Flights with incomplete data	15
Flights Not Operated	75
Compliant*	1983
Non-Compliant	413

^{*}Total No. of Revised CTOTs issued = 553 (Compliance calculation for flights which were issued revised CTOT is w.r.t. new CTOT issued)



Figure 11: Overall Compliance – April '24

NOTE: Flights with required data (i.e. ATOT) are only considered for compliance measurement






Figure 12: Compliance(Monthwise)

Inference

- 1. Out of the total arrivals captured (3212 flights) during the CDM scenario for the constrained Airports, 77.4% of flights i.e. domestic arrivals (2486 flights) were candidates for ground delay (participating).
- 2. Out of these Domestic Arrivals(2486), 93.2% (2319 flights) are assigned ATFM ground delay.
- 3. Out of the total arrivals captured(3212 flights) to the constrained Airport during the ATFM scenario, only 72.1% of flights(2319 flights) were assigned ATFM Ground Delay.



IV. CTOT Compliance rate – Airportwise

MUMBAI FIR (83%)*	Compliant	Non Compliant	% Compliant
Ahmedabad	73	8	90%
Aurangabad	20	0	100%
Mumbai	46	12	79%
Vadodara	2	15	12%
Bhopal	28	2	93%
Diu	2	0	100%
Hirasar, rajkot	13	1	93%
Indore	46	5	90%
Jabalpur	2	1	67%
Jalgaon	0	1	0%
Jamnagar	8	7	53%
Kandla	3	1	75%
Kolhapur	3	1	75%
Mundra	52	5	91%
Nagpur	1	0	100%
Pune	5	6	45%
Shirdi	3	0	100%
Surat	7	0	100%
Udaipur	21	3	88%
KOLKATA FIR (85%)*	Compliant	Non Compliant	% Compliant
Prayagraj	3	3	50%
Agartala	3	0	100%
Ayodhya	18	1	95%
Bagdogra	25	1	96%
Shillong	32	7	82%
Varanasi	39	2	95%
Kolkata	117	19	86%
Chakeri	1	1	50%
Durgapur	0	1	0%
Darbhanga	6	1	86%
Deoghar	1	0	100%
Gorakhpur	7	1	88%

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **14** of **26**



Guwahati	14	9	61%
Gaya	2	1	67%
Hollongi	0	1	0%
Uttar Satlai	0	2	0%
Imphal	3	0	100%
Khajuraho	1	0	100%
Dibrugarh	4	0	100%
Dimapur	2	1	67%
Patna	33	4	89%
Purnea	0	1	0%
Pakyong	0	1	0%
Ranchi	13	2	87%
Raipur	29	1	97%
DELHI FIR	Compliant	Non Compliant	% Compliant
(78%)*			
Amritsar	8	2	80%
Bikaner	0	1	0%
Bhuntar	0	2	0%
Bathinda	0	1	0%
Bareilly	1	0	100%
Chandigarh	23	11	68%
Dehradun	14	8	64%
Delhi	291	42	87%
Gaggal	4	0	100%
Gwalior	2	1	67%
Halwara Air Force Station	1	0	100%
Jodhpur	6	2	75%
Jaipur	51	11	82%
Jammu	6	2	75%
Leh	6	8	43%
Lucknow	36	10	78%
Pantnagar	2	0	100%
Shimla	1	1	50%
Srinagar	35	32	52%
Udhampur	0	1	0%

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **15** of **26**



CHENNAI FIR (84%)*	Compliant	Non Compliant	% Compliant
Hal Bangalore	1	2	33%
Baldota koppal	1	1	50%
Bangalore	195	31	86%
Belgaum	0	4	0%
Vijayawada	8	2	80%
Coimbatore	53	3	95%
Kochi	59	9	87%
Calicut	5	2	71%
MOPA Goa	56	7	89%
Gulbarga	1	0	100%
Dambolim Goa	88	19	82%
Hubli	1	0	100%
Hyderabad	138	30	82%
Begumpet Hyderabad	0	1	0%
Vijaynagar	1	0	100%
Kannur	4	1	80%
Madurai	12	1	92%
Mangalore	15	4	79%
Chennai	110	14	89%
Port Blair	11	2	85%
Rajahmundry	0	2	0%
Sindhudurg	0	3	0%
Tirupati	1	0	100%
Tiruchirappalli	5	3	63%
Thiruvananthapuram	38	3	93%
Visakhapatnam	5	5	50%

^{*}FIR wise compliance rate

Note: The above list contains only those airports which had flights to the Constrained Airport and are affected by ATFM measures.

Airports with % compliance less than the average compliance(83%) for the month are highlighted in red.



V. CTOT Compliance rate – Airlinewise




Figure 13: Airline wise Compliance -April'24

Inference

- 1. Out of the total domestic arrivals with complete data in the CDM scenario, 83% arrivals are compliant.
- 2. Kolkata region record the highest compliance of 85% whereas Delhi region has the lowest percentage compliance of 78%.
- 3. Indigo, Air India, Akasa Air and Vistara Airlines have a CTOT compliance higher than the average recorded compliance for the month of April'24.



VI. Reason For Non Compliance




Figure 14: Reason for Non-Compliance as provided by FMPs

Inference:

- 1. 45 % of CTOT Non- Compliance was reported by concerned FMPs to be due to delay by Airlines.
- 2. 14 % of the CTOT Non- compliance was reported to be due to late arrival from previous station. Updated EOBTs of such flights was not available to ATFM unit leading to wastage of unused slots.
- 3. 14 % of CTOT Non- Compliance was reported by concerned FMPs to be due to operational reasons.
- 4. 13 % of the CTOT Non- compliance was reported by concerned FMPs to be due to late receipt of CTOTs and by the time the aircraft had already initiated pushed back or startup.



VII. Air Delay during the CDM Scenario period

Average Air Delay to domestic arrivals* within the CDM Scenario period for Delhi, Mumbai, Kolkata, Bengaluru and Chennai was 9.9,9.8, 2.7, 2.9 and 9.6 minutes respectively.

*Note: Only calculated for domestic arrivals with both ATOT and ALDT information




Figure 15: Air Delay distribution during the CDM period

Inference

- 1. 58% of domestic arriving flights to Mumbai had an Air delay of equal to or less than 10 minutes during the CDM period.
- 2. 59% of domestic arriving flights to Delhi had an Air delay of equal to or less than 10 minutes during the CDM period.
- 3. 56% of domestic arriving flights to Chennai had an Air delay of equal to or less than 10 minutes during the CDM period.
- 4. 96% of domestic arriving flights to Kolkata had an Air delay of equal to or less than 10 minutes during the CDM period.
- 5. 95% of domestic arriving flights to Bengaluru had an Air delay of equal to or less than 10 minutes during the CDM period.



VIII. Tangible Benefits due to ATFM Measures

A modest attempt is made to find out the tangible benefit of ATFM measures applied.

Assumptions:

- •When ATFM measures are not in force, all flights take off at their ETOT where Estimated take off time(ETOT)= Estimated off block time(EOBT) + default taxi time
- •All flights have an Estimated elapsed time(EET) as calculated by SKYFLOW using the Flight Plan information and Basic Aircraft data.

Methodology:

Air delay (with ATFM measures in force) is calculated during the period when ATFM measures are in force by summing the air delay for all the flights landing at constrained Airport.

i.e. Total Air Delay = ∑ (Actual Flying time - SKYFLOW calculated EET)

Air delay (with no ATFM measures) is calculated as the sum of Air delay for all the flights during the above said period with no ATFM measures in place and the air delay for each flight is the difference in its ideal landing time and its ideal estimated landing time.

Total Air Delay (with no ATFM measures) = ∑ (Ideal LDT - Ideal ELDT)

*Ideal LDT is taken by assuming every flight is landing at a specified interval based on the Arrival acceptance rate(AAR) defined,

*Ideal ELDT = ETOT + SKYFLOW calculated Flying time

Fuel Saving Calculation:

Great Circle Distance(GCD)* was calculated for all the arrivals during the ATFM Measure from the point of origin to destination. Assuming Airbus 320 as reference aircraft for flights (flight distance equal to or less than 3000 nm) and B777 for international flights (flight distance more than 3000nm):

Fuel consumption (Kgs / nm) for each affected flight in the scenario was then calculated using the Reference document: ICAO Carbon emissions calculator methodology, version10, Appendix C: ICAO Fuel Consumption Table.

The Fuel consumed per minute(Kg/min) was calculated for each affected flight.

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **20** of **26**



Total Air Delay(with ATFM Measures)= 23894 mins

Total Air Delay (with no ATFM measures) = 59737 mins

Reduction in Air delay due to ATFM measures= (59737-23894) = 35843 mins

Fuel Saving Calculation:

Total Fuel saved during the ATFM Measure: 19,89,948.27 Kg

Total reduction in CO_2 emission : 3.16(KgCO₂/kg fuel)* 19,89,948.27 Kg = 62,88,236.53Kg

*GCD (Great Circle Distance): The distance between origin and destination airports is derived from latitude and longitude coordinates originally obtained from ICAO Location Indicators database.

3.16 = constant representing the number of tonnes of CO2 produced by burning a tonne of aviation fuel.



D. Glossary

ATFM Parameters	Definition
Affected Flight statistics	An insight of participating traffic in the scenario i.e. ratio of the domestic arrivals to the constrained airport affected by ATFM measures (assigned delay by the Ground Delay Program) to the domestic arrivals not affected by ATFM measures (not assigned any delay) within the CDM scenario.
ATFM Ground delay	ATFM ground delay defined as CTOT-ETOT (Calculated take off time – Estimated take off time)
Average ATFM delay	Total monthly ATFM delay (in minutes) Total Domestic Arrivals
Maximum ATFM delay	Maximum ATFM delay (in minutes) assigned in the month
Overall compliance rate	Defined as monthly ATFM departure slot adherence rate of regulated flights. Flights having ATOT within theATFM Slot Tolerance Window (STW) of minus 5 to plus 10 minutes of CTOTs, are considered as compliant flights
CTOT Compliance rate of Airline operators	An overview of CTOT compliance rate of various Airline operators
CTOT Compliance rate of Airports within different Regions	An overview of CTOT compliance rate of Airports within 4 FIRs
Air delay statistics	Air delay defined as difference between AET & EET, whereAET(actual elapsed time) can be obtained from (ALDT-ATOT) and estimated elapsed time(EET)can be obtained from FPL/RPL or (CLDT-CTOT). Therefore, Air delay = AET-EET Average Air Delay is calculated as: Average Air Delay Total Air Delay to domestic arrivals (with values greater than zero) Total Domestic Arrivals CLDT: Calculated Landing Time CTOT: Calculated Take off Time ALDT: Actual Landing Time ATOT: Actual Take off Time

CCC-CATFM/2024/05/08 Page **22** of **26**



Annexure-A

Compliance by Airlines with Flight Planning Requirements of Common Business rules(CBR)- April 2024.



I. Introduction:

Accurate and timely input in respect of flight intent is paramount to the correct traffic demand projection and eventually effective ATFM implementation. FPLs remain the main source of tactical demand prediction for ATFM systems. Early filing of error free FPL helps in improving the lead time required for ATFM measures and reduces the number of unexpected flights(pop-up). This in turn helps in improving the accuracy of demand-capacity imbalance prediction and optimizes slot utilization.

AIP India, ENR 1.9 section 4 on Flight Planning in the context of ATFM recommends Flight Planning requirements for all Airline Operators –


- "a) Flight plans shall be submitted at least 3 hours before the estimated off block time (EOBT);
- b) The window for filing FPL is between 3 Hours and 120 Hours (Five days) before the EOBT. Earlier filing of FPL will give a realistic demand data to the CCC and hence the requirement of ATFM measures can be identified early for better planning. Late filing of a flight plan will lead to inaccuracies in predicting the demand and may lead to undesirable delay;"

II. Analysis

A. An analysis has been conducted to find out the difference between the flight plan filing time and filed EOBT for all the FPLs received at ATFM system from 1st April 2024 to 30th April 2024.

The purpose of the analysis is to monitor the compliance with provisions of AIP India, section 4, ENR 1.9 regarding Flight Planning requirements in the context of ATFM.

This flight plan filing requirement has been reiterated through the recently agreed ATFM common business rules (CBR) document and is recognized as a metrics to be monitored regularly for any improvement.





The table below lists number of filed flight plans (FPLs) with less than 3 Hours prior to EOBT:


Name of Airline	Late Filed FPL	Total No. Of FPL	% Delayed Filing
Air Asia	29	4862	0.60
AirIndia	1107	14198	7.80
AirIndia Express	1531	6679	22.92
Akasa	570	3686	15.46
Alliance Air	200	2944	6.79
Blue Dart	16	690	2.32
Indigo	6725	60933	11.04
SpiceJet	488	5710	8.55
Star Air	27	1268	2.13
Vistara	971	10330	9.40
Total no. of FPLs for			
Scheduled Airlines	11664	111300	10.48

B. For the analysis of non-receipt of DLA (Delay) messages for flight plans filed, the EOBT of FPL received has been compared with Actual Take off time (ATOT)received through DEP(Departure)messages.
Thus, only those FPLs were considered for analysis for which DEP messages were available and no associated DLA messages was received.

The Table below lists number of flights for which no DLA message was received in April 2024. **{(EOBT of original FPL)- (ATOT received)} > 30 minutes)**

Name of Airline	DLA Message not received	Total No. of flights considered for	% of flights for which no DLA message was
		analysis	received
Air India	2728	10972	24.86
Akasa Air	371	2830	13.11
Express India	1285	4320	29.75
Blue dart	100	554	18.05
Air Asia	448	3479	12.88
Indigo	10559	46454	22.73
Alliance Air	339	1696	19.99
Star Air	64	411	15.57
Spice Jet	860	3561	24.15
Vistara	2004	7795	25.71





C. For analysis of non-receipt of CNL (cancel) messages for February 2024, annulled FPLs were considered for which no CNL/DEP/DLA messages were received. A FPL gets annulled in SKYFLOW system, if it doesn't get activated through Dep message /surveillance data/ manual activation by FMP within a defined system parameter.

The table below lists the number of Flights for which no CNL Msg. was received in April 2024:

Name of Airline	CNL message not	No. of flights annulled
	received	
Air India	103	158
Akasa Air	8	12
Express India	85	114
Blue dart	3	3
Air Asia	6	21
Indigo	218	402
Alliance Air	6	134
Star Air	24	43
Spice Jet	47	198
Vistara	84	333